

From: [REDACTED]@hotmail.com>  
Sent: 09 December 2021 18:06  
To: NI Enquiries <NIEnquiries@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>  
Subject: A 303 Stonehenge

Sir,

Re: A 303 Stonehenge. Consultation Response

There is no requirement in traffic regulations for an east bound and west bound carriageways to be side by side. They could be a distance apart, in this case up to a mile apart but closer is quite possible and would make most sense.

There could be much less disturbance to countryside and views, lower costs and less archaeological disturbance if the present route were kept as 'east bound' and a new 2 lane 'west bound' carriage way were constructed from the roundabout east of the henge, south of the present road, to somewhere to the west of Winterbourne Stoke. An east bound bypass of the village would be needed joining the present road at the round about to the west of the henge. A couple of lanes for local traffic for the convenience of the local residents might be needed.

The carriage ways need not accommodate high speed traffic, do not require wide verges entailing much maintenance, a hard shoulder / verge would be more than enough..

My local knowledge is limited and maintaining the present route west bound and building a new east bound carriage way to the north might be a better option. I would bow to local knowledge.

The imperative is to bypass Winterbourne Stoke and to maintain the flow of traffic at 50 to 60 mph past Stonehenge and avail travellers of the views. To the west of the village the present road is only a two carriage way country road so the bottle neck would be moved west unless the new road joined with the dual carriage way further on.

The Idea of a tunnel was not a great display of imagination or high intelligence, I fear; someone's vanity project?

Yours faithfully

Hedley Piper  
[Coronavirus advice image with text saying Hands, Face, Space]

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72

[Environmental advice image with text saying please consider the environment before printing this email]